

Starting Soon:

ITRC Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation

- Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation Guidance Document, sd-1.itrcweb.org
- CLU-IN training page at <https://clu-in.org/conf/itrc/sd-1/>. Under “Webinar Slides & References”, you can download the slides

Use “Join Audio” option in lower left of Zoom webinar to listen to webinar
Problems joining audio? Please call in manually

Dial In 301 715 8592
Webinar ID: 843 4195 4595#

Housekeeping

- This event is being recorded; Event will be available On Demand after the event at the main training page: [**https://clu-in.org/conf/itrc/sd-1/**](https://clu-in.org/conf/itrc/sd-1/)
- If you have technical difficulties, please use the Q&A Pod to request technical support
- Need confirmation of your participation today?
 - Fill out the online feedback form and check box for confirmation email and certificate

ITRC – Shaping the Future of Regulatory Acceptance

- Host Organization



- Network - States, PR, DC

- Federal Partners



DOE



DOD



EPA

- ITRC Industry Affiliates Program



- Academia

- Community Stakeholders

- Disclaimer

- <https://sd-1.itrcweb.org/about-itrc/#disclaimer>

- Partially funded by the US government

- ITRC nor US government warranty material
- ITRC nor US government endorse specific products

- ITRC materials available for your use – see [usage policy](#)



itrcweb.org



facebook.com/
itrcweb



@ITRCWEB




linkedin.com/
company/itrc



ITRC: Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation Training

Sponsored by: Interstate Technology and Regulatory Council
(www.itrcweb.org)

Hosted by: US EPA Clean Up Information Network
(www.cluin.org)



ECOS

ERIS
ENVIRONMENTAL RESEARCH
INSTITUTE OF THE STATES

<https://sd-1.itrcweb.org/>

Meet the ITRC Trainers



Ashley Lesser, P.E.
Michigan Department of
Environment, Great Lakes,
& Energy
lessera@michigan.gov



Deirdre Reidy
Anchor QEA, LLC
dreidy@anchorqea.com



Tamara Sorell, Ph.D
Brown and Caldwell
tsorell@brwncaid.com



Wardah Azhar, Ph.D
Parsons
Wardah.Azhar@parsons.com



David Lampert, Ph.D
Illinois Institute of
Technology
dlampert1@iit.edu



Wesley Thomas
Oregon Department of
Environmental Quality
Wesley.Thomas@deq.oregon.gov




Bhawana Sharma, Ph.D
Jacobs
bhawana.sharma@jacobs.com



Erik Bakkom, P.E.
Maul Foster & Alongi
ebakkom@maulfoster.com

Contaminated Sediments Remediation (2014)

- Guidance on contaminated sediment selection of remedial technologies
- Section 5 provides an overview of Amended and Unamended Capping



Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation (2023)

- Design, construction, and monitoring of the cap chemical isolation function
- Design approach for physical stability or erosion protection layer not discussed in this guidance

Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation Guidance

HOME



Training Roadmap

Introduction (Section 1)

Capping Overview (Section 2)

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts (Section 3)


Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling (Section 5)

Q&A Break

Chemical Isolation Construction Considerations (Section 6)

Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives and Approaches (Section 7)

Q&A Break



Section 4: Chemical Isolation Design Data Needs

4 Chemical Isolation Design Data Needs

Table 4-1 summarizes the data that may be needed to support cap design, construction, and monitoring to meet the desired chemical isolation design criteria. Where noted in **Table 4-1**, detailed description of the key data needs specific to the CIL design is included in **Appendix C**. Although not all data are needed for every project or site, this checklist is a useful way to explicitly consider each possible data need during the respective stages of the project. These phases, presented in **Table 4-1**, are described in the following bullets:

- Design criteria: the key factors with respect to the site-specific CSM that would support the development of the chemical isolation design criteria.
- CIL modeling: the parameters recommended for informing or selecting model inputs for effective CIL design. Key modeling inputs are further described in **Section 5.5.3**.
- Construction: the key factors that would affect the placement of CIL and should be considered during the CIL design.
- Post-remediation monitoring: the key factors that should be considered during the development of the long-term monitoring plan for the CIL performance evaluation.



Design Criteria

CIL Modeling

Construction

Post-Remediation Monitoring

Table 4-1. Potential data needs for the chemical isolation design

Data Type	Description	CSM/ Design Criteria	CIL Modeling	Construction	Post- Remediation Monitoring
Chemical-Specific Properties					
Contaminant Type (e.g., Organics or Metals)	Site-specific contaminant(s) (i.e., COCs).	X	X		X
Contaminant Concentration in Porewater	Source of chemical to the cap (from beneath the cap). It is important to know whether concentrations represent total dissolved or freely dissolved contaminants. Additional details are provided in Appendix C .	X	X		X
Contaminant Distribution in Either Bulk	Concentrations of one chemical relative to the other chemicals, either individually or as individuals that make up a total (e.g., homologs of total PCBs).	X	X		

<https://sd-1.itrcweb.org/4-chemical-isolation-design-data-needs/>

Sediment Capping Objectives

Focus of Training &
Resources is Chemical
Isolation

1 Physical stabilization (stability) to prevent contaminant transport

2 Chemical isolation to contain or limit contaminant migration and exposure to contaminants of concern from the underlying sediments

3 Protection of benthic community by preventing direct contact with the underlying contaminated sediments

Training Roadmap

Introduction (Section 1)

Capping Overview (Section 2)

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts
(Section 3)


Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling (Section 5)

Q&A Break

Chemical Isolation Construction Considerations
(Section 6)


Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives and
Approaches (Section 7)

Q&A Break



Capping Overview

Cap objectives can be achieved with a single cap layer or ***combination of multiple cap layers***




- Accommodates the benthic and aquatic communities and vegetation
- Stabilizes and protects CIL from erosive forces (e.g., waves, tides, current, prop wash)
- Buffers mixing of CIL and erosion protection layer
- **Provides chemical isolation**
- Creates level / stable base layer; prevents CIL from mixing with sediment


* The surface layer usually includes the biologically active zone (BAZ)

Cap Layer Configurations


All functional layers




Base layer (*mixing*) not required




Base layer and habitat layer not required



Base layer, habitat layer, and filter layer not required



Single cap layer



Source: Jeffrey Hale, Kleinfelder (used with permission)


General Cap Types

Unamended
Granular Caps


Low-
permeability
Caps

Amended
Caps

Unamended Granular Caps





Unamended Granular Cap
(e.g., sand)



- Physical Separation
- Permeable
- Increased Attenuation Thickness
- Isolates Immobile Material


Low-permeability Caps

Low-permeability Cap
(e.g., bentonite clay)




- Physical Separation
- Low-permeability Impedes Fluid Flow & Migration of Contaminants

Amended Caps



Amended Cap
(e.g., sand and activated carbon)



- Physical Separation
- Permeable – Allows Upward Porewater Migration into Surface Water
- Sorptive or Reactive to Retard COC Migration

Cap Design Considerations




Figure 2-1: Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation Guidance (SD-1), 2023 (Modified)

Training Roadmap

Introduction (Section 1)

Capping Overview (Section 2)

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts (Section 3)


Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling (Section 5)

Q&A Break

Chemical Isolation Construction Considerations
(Section 6)

Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives and
Approaches (Section 7)

Q&A Break



Chemical Isolation Performance Targets

Sediment remedy objectives are developed to achieve the Remedial Action Objectives (RAOs) and/or other project-specific risk reduction goals

Human Health

Reduce risks to adults and children from:

- incidental ingestion and dermal exposure
- consuming contaminated fish and shellfish

Ecological Risks

Reduce environmental toxicity to:

- benthic organisms
- higher trophic organisms

Chemical Isolation Design Criteria

Components of chemical isolation performance targets

- Concentrations/fluxes
- Depths that the chemical isolation performance targets apply (Point of Compliance)
- Spatial scales
 - Surface weighted average concentration (SWAC) basis over specified area
 - Point-by-point basis
- Timeframe that the chemical isolation performance targets apply (Design Life)

Example Chemical Isolation Performance Targets



The porewater concentration of contaminant X shall not exceed 1 ng/L at a depth of 10 cm from the cap surface over 100 years

The flux of pollutant Y shall not exceed 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^2/\text{yr}$ at the bottom of the bioturbation zone over 100 years

The SWAC of pollutant Z shall not exceed 1 ng/L over 100 years



What are potential issues you encountered with establishing your remedial goals?





<https://www.shiksha.com/>

Performance Targets

It is helpful to evaluate the performance of the cap (design and monitoring) on a porewater concentration basis




Importance of Background Conditions



Source: Deirdre Reidy, Anchor QEA (used with permission)

Point of Compliance Directly Related to RGs

RGs established to be protective of **benthic organisms**




RGs established to be protective of **ambient water quality or fish** at higher trophic levels

Source: Modified from Arcadis U.S., Inc. (used with permission)


Design Evaluation Depth

Point of Compliance/
Design Evaluation Depth




Source: Modified from Arcadis U.S., Inc. (used with permission)

Design Evaluation Depth – Large Armor Stone Scenario



More appropriate to evaluate cap effectiveness below the armor stone

Design Evaluation Depth – Deposition Scenario




Point of Compliance/
Design Evaluation Depth A
Considers additional attenuation provided by deposition

Point of Compliance/
Design Evaluation Depth
Design
Evaluation Depth B
Avoids influence of depositing material


Source: Modified from Arcadis U.S., Inc. (used with permission)

Design Evaluation Depth – Large Armor Stone Scenario & Deposition



Design Life

The minimum period over which the cap is designed to meet the design criteria



Note, **performance life** of cap expected to be longer due to conservatism in the design process



Typical design life = 100 years

Cap Design – Conservatism

- Design criteria (e.g., conservatism built into risk assessments)
- Input parameter values used in model evaluations
 - Inputs with the largest influence on model results:
 - Groundwater seepage rates
 - Contaminant concentrations in porewater
 - Partitioning characteristics
 - Deposition rates
- Material specifications during design
 - E.g., minimum thickness and amendment dose to meet criteria
- Material placement during construction
 - E.g., the contractor material placement quantities may exceed the design specifications

Constraints on Chemical Isolation Layer Thickness

Top Down Approach



Source: Deirdre Reidy, Anchor QEA (used with permission)

Cap Amendments

- Cap amendments are often required to meet performance targets
- Amendment types depend on the CoCs
 - A combination of amendments may be needed
 - Can be added as discrete layers, mixed with other cap materials, or direct addition to sediment
- Data collection is recommended to support modeling for cap design
- Benthic community impacts should be considered


Site Characteristics for Chemical Isolation Design

Understand spatial differences in site characteristics that affect CIL design

- Chemical concentrations and contaminant distribution
 - Includes geochemistry, which may be important for some contaminants of concern
- Presence of NAPL
- Seepage rates
- Deposition/erosion potential

Benthic community structure and bioturbation

- Bioturbation depths of 5-10 cm are common, but site-specific data may be needed from benthic surveys to inform models
- May inform point of compliance



Sun and Ghosh 2007

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts – Wrap Up

Understanding what we are designing the cap to protect

Defining design criteria – the “what”, “where” and “how long”

Design constraints

e.g., surface elevation requirements

Site characteristics for chemical isolation design

Training Roadmap

Introduction (Section 1)

Capping Overview (Section 2)

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts (Section 3)


Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling (Section 5)

Q&A Break

Chemical Isolation Construction Considerations
(Section 6)


Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives and Approaches
(Section 7)

Q&A Break



Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling


- Purpose of Modeling
- Why a cap model?
- What models are available?
- What are important parameters?
- Sensitivity and Uncertainty



Purpose of Cap Modeling

Predict performance of a cap into the future for purposes of evaluating designs


- How thick of a CIL?
- Composition of the CIL?
- Sensitivity to key model uncertainties?
- Compare to monitoring data after construction?



Source: Danny Reible, Anacostia demonstration project (used with permission)


Why Cap Models?

- Surface water quality and hydrodynamic models describe water column processes and interactions with the sediment boundary
- Groundwater models describe processes in adjacent aquifers
- Neither address the unique processes that occur in the upper 10-100 cm of the sediment




What Are Those Processes in Surficial Sediments?

- Erosion protection to ensure cap stability
- Mobile contaminants in porewater
- Redox changes with depth
- Bioturbation by near surface benthic organisms
- Benthic boundary layer
- Hyporheic exchange



What Tools Are Available – Recovery (USACE ERDC ERDC/EL SR-D-00-1)

- Models a mixed sediment layer and deeper sediment layers
- Dissolved & particulate contaminants
 - Migration, Resuspension, Burial
- Simple overlying water conditions



What Tools Are Available – CapSim (Texas Tech University)

Model sediment as layers of different depths and conditions

Graphical User Interface


Explicitly models bioturbation, hyporheic exchange

Capable of modelling sorption kinetics or equilibrium


Capable of modeling multiple reactions (contaminant as well as biogeochemical conditions)

Commercial cap materials available

Tools for estimating a variety of model parameters



Key Model Inputs That **ARE** Important



Contaminant of Concern (CoC) & concentration

- Chosen by significance, importance to design, spatial distribution and mobility
- Porewater concentration modelled, but input could be sediment concentration

Sorption coefficients of CoC in CIL

Groundwater seepage rates

Sediment deposition rates

Depth within cap for evaluating design (may be point of compliance)

Model Inputs That **MAY BE** Important

Intensity of sediment-water exchange

Especially if design evaluation depth near sediment - water interface

Kinetics of sorption onto strongly sorbing phases

e.g., granular activated carbon

Reactivity of non-conservative contaminants

Often assumed negligible due to slow rate and uncertainty but likely important over cap design life



REMINDER: Capping is an areal remedy!

Be careful of interpreting concentrations at cap-water interface


Concentrations at cap-water interface generally controlled by water column dynamics, not CIL

Flux at cap-water interface is controlled by cap design and is useful

Model Uncertainty and Sensitivity



Model Output



Questions



Training Roadmap

Introduction (Section 1)

Capping Overview (Section 2)

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts
(Section 3)


Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling (Section 5)

Q&A Break



Chemical Isolation Construction Considerations (Section 6)

Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives and
Approaches (Section 7)

Q&A Break




Construction Considerations – Overview



Source: Modified from Figure 2-1 of Guidance Document

Construction Considerations




Material Placement

- Materials Spread
- Riverward to Shoreward
- Upstream to Downstream

Mixing Consolidation Layer

Construction Considerations – Cap Amendment




Dosing and Mixing Considerations:

- Dose Requirements
- Well-mixed Distribution
- Amendment Integrity

Placement Methods: Conventional Broadcast

Concrete Bucket



Advantages:

Low-cost method
Shoreline or barge based

Disadvantages:

Crew access
Lift control

Excavator



Advantages:

Commonly available
Shoreline or barge based

Disadvantages:

Lift control
Low production rate

Clamshell



Advantages:

Commonly available marine equipment
High production rates

Disadvantages:

Lift control challenging
Overhead obstructions

Conveyor Delivery



Advantages:

High production rate
Available in most cities

Disadvantages:

Material delivery access needed

Placement Methods: Proprietary

Spreader with Hydraulic Delivery



Advantages:

Hydraulic delivery, dry spreading
High production rate

Disadvantages:

Hard to reach tight areas
Water column effects

Hydraulic Placement and Delivery



Advantages:

Hydraulic delivery and spreading
High production rate

Disadvantages:

Hard to reach tight areas
Water column effects

Placement Related Issues – Key Considerations

Placement Accuracy and Tracking

- Operator experience is a major factor
- Bucket type placement tend toward less uniform
(smooth lipped excavator, clamshell, others)
- High accuracy achieved with conveyor delivery and certain proprietary systems
- Essential QC measures include where lifts are placed, what quantity is placed, and the uniformity of material placement
- Best practices include RTK-GPS, routine bathymetric survey, and direct measurement


Material Loss During Placement

- Material loss during placement is a function of three factors: (a) material properties, (b) equipment accuracy, and (c) the placement conditions
- Managing material loss includes: realistic expectations; evaluating water column dynamics; and continual operator optimization of placement technique
- Fine grain and low bulk density materials are especially challenging due to particle drift
- Reactive materials may separate from sand during placement. Consider wetting, overdosing, or other practical means to deliver target dose

CIL Placement Quality Assurance Methods

- Weight of evidence approach, as no one method provides sufficient verifiable data
- Verify important design & construction specifications, including thickness, delivery of amendment material, and tolerances.

Bathymetric Survey




Advantages:

Area-wide Analysis
Commonly Available

Disadvantages:

No Settling or Mixing Layer
No Amendment Info

Sub-Bottom Profile




Advantages:

Approx Cap Thickness
Includes Settling

Disadvantages:

Location Specific - Line
No Amendment Info

Sediment Profile Imaging



Advantages:

View In-Place Material
View Amendments

Disadvantages:

Location Specific - Point
Limited Thickness

Settling Pans



Advantages:

View Placed Materials
Assess Amendments

Disadvantages:

Location Specific - Point
No Settling or Mixing Layer

Core Collection



Advantages:

View Placed Materials
Assess Mixing Layer
Assess Amendments

Disadvantages:

Location Specific - Point
Subject to Drawdown

Important Concepts

- ✓ Evaluate Proposed Equipment
- ✓ Controlled Placement of Layers
- ✓ Amendment Delivery
- ✓ Quality Assurance Program

Training Roadmap

Introduction (Section 1)

Capping Overview (Section 2)

Performance Objectives & Design Concepts
(Section 3)


Chemical Isolation Layer Modeling (Section 5)

Q&A Break

Chemical Isolation Construction Considerations
(Section 6)

**Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives and
Approaches (Section 7)**

Q&A Break



Final Design and Specifications
(see Sections 5 and 6.1)


Construction QA/QC
(see Section 6.6)

Completion Report/As Built
(Section 6.6)

Post-Remediation Monitoring and
Maintenance (**Section 7**)

Cap Performance Monitoring

How can monitoring help assess cap performance?



Compare actual performance to design

Distinguish chemical migration from deposition

Evaluate natural recovery processes


Inform cap maintenance

Update anticipated cap lifespan

Monitoring Cap Performance – Chemical Isolation

Sampling Depths

- At a minimum, sample at the point of compliance (A), and if different, the design evaluation depth (B)
- Multiple depths throughout cap is helpful for understanding transport mechanism
- A sample within the chemical isolation layer can serve as an “early warning” of potential issues in the future



Monitoring Cap Performance – Chemical Isolation

Evaluate COC concentrations at multiple depths (vertical profiling)




Figure 7-2. Conceptual illustration of vertical concentration profiles

Monitoring Cap Performance – Chemical

Porewater

Direct indicator of CIL performance

Solid Phase

Commonly used to evaluate CIL performance

Surface Water

Not a straightforward indicator of CIL performance

Biota

Not a straightforward indicator of CIL performance

Maintenance Triggers

When do caps need repair?

- COC migration through cap
- Excessive consolidation
 - Of cap material
 - Of underlying sediment
- Slope instability
- Erosion; high energy events
- Current and future uses
 - Prop wash scour
 - Anchoring
 - Sunken vessels




Source: Louisiana Department of Environmental Quality (used with permission)

Monitoring & Maintenance Objectives/Approaches

- Plan for cap maintenance in the same manner as any built asset
- Maintenance plans should include "trigger" criteria for action
 - physical criteria (e.g., thickness)
 - chemical criteria (e.g., COC concentrations)
- Response may include increased frequency of monitoring, diagnostic investigation, or repairs
- Consider whether minor or localized deviations are likely to reduce cap effectiveness

Summary

- Chemical isolation is achieved through various mechanisms (impeding fluid flow, addition of sorbents, physical attenuation distance)
- Chemical isolation cap design is an iterative process that considers risk reduction goals, contaminant properties, fate and transport characteristics, physical constraints, constructability, and permitting requirements
- Construction quality assurance is critical to demonstrate that the chemical design criteria are achieved in the field
- Monitoring should include approaches to evaluate RAO attainment and assess cap performance monitoring
- Maintenance needs should be tied to pre-determined 'triggers' informed by cap performance monitoring results



Questions

ITRC Sediment Cap Chemical Isolation Guidance Document sd-1.itrcweb.org



Certificate of Completion

<https://clu-in.org/conf/itrc/sd-1/>
(emailed after you complete the Feedback Form)