

Welcome to MyPROTECT Report

- + View your MyPROTECT study results
- + Learn about the chemicals in the study
- + Reduce chemicals in your home and community



Reporting Back Personal Exposure Data to Participants

SRP Risk e-Learning October 22, 2021 Risk Communication Strategies to Reduce Exposures and Improve Health

Julia Brody, Katherine Boronow, Silent Spring Institute Phil Brown, Northeastern University - SSEHRI





Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health Research Institute Why is Report-Back an Equity Issue?

- Research ethics: Respect the autonomy of study participants and increase benefits of research for them
- Opportunity for culturally competent communication
- Knowledge is power: Right-to-know, right-to-act





EJ and other community groups like report-back

- It fits with their approach to community ownership of data
- It supports their belief that research should lead to action
- It develops community capacity
- It facilitates production of community-driven tools
- Communities for a Better Environment is partner in Household Exposure Study leads to engagement with Concerned Citizens of Tillery and WEACT
- REACH study (PFAS Immunotoxicity) Testing for Pease and Mass. Breast Cancer Coalition are partners, and many grassroots PFAS groups around US assisting in production of medical guidance documents





Social Science Elements

- "Exposure experience" derives from medical sociology concept of "illness experience"
- "Research altruism"

Pollution Comes Home and Gets Personal: Women's Experience of Household Chemical Exposure*

REBECCA GASIOR ALTMAN

Brown University

RACHEL MORELLO-FROSCH University of California at Berkeley

JULIA GREEN BRODY RUTHANN RUDEL

Silent Spring Institute

PHIL BROWN MARA AVERICK

Brown University



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Social Science & Medicine

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/socscimed

Research altruism as motivation for participation in community-centered environmental health research

Jennifer S. Carrera^{a,*}, Phil Brown^b, Julia Green Brody^c, Rachel Morello-Frosch^d

^a Department of Sociology, Michigan State University, 509 E. Circle Drive, Room 316 Berkey Hall, East Lansing, MI 48824, USA

^b Northeastern University, 360 Huntington Avenue, 318INV, Boston, MA 02115, USA

^c Silent Spring Institute, 320 Nevada Street, Newton, MA 02460, USA

^d U.C. Berkeley School of Public Health, 50 University Hall #7360, Berkeley, CA 94720-7360, USA



Journal of Health and Social Behavior 2008, Vol 49 (December): 417-435





Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health Research Institute

DEALING WITH IRBs

- Initial resistance from Brown IRB on report-back, continued contact with participants, public meetings, destroying data
- BU IRB and passive report-back for breastmilk study (virtually no one requested data, compared to our nearly 100% in active report-back)
- ACAT travails with IHS IRB

Saxton et al. Environmental Health (2015) 14:90 DOI 10.1186/s12940-015-0076-x

Environmental Health

• Reluctance should change with NASEM 2018 report.

COMMENTARY Open Access Environmental health and justice and the right to research: institutional review board denials of community-based chemical biomonitoring of breast milk

Dvera I. Saxton^{1*}, Phil Brown², Samarys Seguinot-Medina³, Lorraine Eckstein³, David O. Carpenter⁴, Pamela Miller³ and Vi Waghiyi^{5,3}





Report-back Design and Messages

What goes into making reports?

Report-Back Developed from Participatory Research

- Multi-disciplinary expertise •
- Interviews with participants, researchers, and IRBs •
- Focus groups, advisory councils, stakeholder workshops •
- Observations at community meetings ٠
- One-on-one user testing of reports ٠
- **Digital analytics**

Funded by NIH, NSF, CA Breast Cancer Research Program, CDC





Results are more than a number

- What did you find?
- How much?
- Is that high?
- Is it safe?
- Where did it come from?
- What should I do?

Brody et al. 2007 AJPH





DERBI: Digital Exposure Report-Back Interface

- A software framework for generating personalized exposure reports -- for computer, smartphone, print
- Scalable to studies of all sizes
- Researcher dashboard for authoring reports



This web site provides your CHDS study results. It shows:

- + The levels of chemicals found in your blood.
- + How your levels compare with other people.
- + Where these chemicals come from.
- + How they can affect health.
- + How you can reduce levels of these chemicals in your body, your home, and your community.



Start Here

Context

About 50 years ago, over 15,000 families in the Kaiser Foundation Health Plan joined the Child Health and Development Studies to help scientists discover how disease

Chemicals in the study

Your blood samples were tested for chemicals used in pesticides, flame retardants, old electrical equipment, and nonstick, stain-resistant, and waterproof This website

This website includes the results for the environmental chemicals we measured in your blood sample. By clicking through the pages of the website, you can learn more

Boronow et al. 2017 EHP





Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health Research Institute



This web site provides your CHDS study results. It shows:

- + The levels of chemicals found in your blood.
- + How your levels compare with other people.
- + Where these chemicals come from.
- + How they can affect health.
- + How you can reduce levels of these chemicals in your body, your home, and your community.



Start Here

1. Welcome page

- 2. Individual login (no overt personal identifiers)
- Summary page with main messages – "headlines" – about individual- and community-wide results

Context

Chemicals in the study

About 50 years ago, over 15,000 families in You the Kaiser Foundation Health Plan Joined che the Child Health and Development Studies reta to help scientists discover how disease non

Your blood samples were tested for chemicals used in pesticides, flame retardants, old electrical equipment, and nonstick, stain-resistant, and waterproof This website

This website includes the results for the environmental chemicals we measured in your blood sample. By clicking through the pages of the website, you can learn more

From: Child Health and Development Studies

S R



Personalized summary page

- "Headlines" about • individual and community results
- Links to detail

From: Detox Me Action Kit Study



Antimicrobials

· Bisphenois o Chlorinated

Phenols Flame Retardants

• Parabens

Sunscreen

What You Can Do

Home

· Food

Chemical

Community

Personal Care

Overall Study Results

About Detox Me Action

List of Chemicals

Kit

Print Report

Sign out

Password

Username and

Home

Your Results

Results Summary

We found chemicals in every person we tested. Some people may want to make changes to reduce their chemical levels. We hope these results will help you make informed decisions.

Chemicals We Found

Your sample had a higher level of a bisphenol than 95% of Americans.



WHAT YOU CAN DO Choose fresh or frozen instead of canned food or drinks. Be aware that plastics and food cans labeled "BPA-free" may contain BPS, BPF, or other chemical substitutes.

Your sample had a lower level of a sunscreen chemical than most others in the study.



WHAT YOU CAN DO

Choose shade, hats, and tightly woven fabric coverups for sun protection when you can.

A flame retardant chemical was detected in your sample.

WHAT YOU CAN DO

Choose furniture that doesn't contain flame. retardants, including in the foam. Check for a label that says it meets TB 117-2013 and states "does not contain added flame retardants." You can also ask a customer service representative or the manufacturer if it contains added flame retardants.

All your results: Antimicrobials / Bisphenols / Chlorinated Phenols / Flame Retardants / Parabens / Sunscreen Chemical /

More things you can do: Home / Food / Community / Personal Care /

Overall Study Results



Detox Me Action Kit tested urine samples for 14 chemicals. The chemicals included preservatives in personal care products, chemicals added to plastics and food packaging, antimicrobials and pesticides, and flame retardants. read more

Action Kit participants tend to have lower chemical burdens than most people in the United States. Good job! read more

Although almost 90 percent of Action Kit participants report avoiding at least two chemical types, some chemicals-like the preservative methyl paraben and UV filter benzophenone-3-are still found in nearly all participants, read more



Action Kit participants have lower levels of Bisphenol A (found in some plastics and food packaging), but higher levels of the related chemical Bisphenol F. This suggests that industry is replacing one harmful chemical with another, a practice known as "regrettable substitution." read more

DetoxMe App







Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health





SILENT SPRING INSTITUTE Researching the Environment and Women's Health



Northeastern University

Social Science Environmental Health Research Institute

Scroll down to individual results graphs

- Graphs use visual abilities to communicate "gist"
- Hover to see graphreading tips and results details









Research Institute



Your Results: PFAS

businesses, as well as firefighting foams.

MassDEP is in the process of revising this guideline.

(our	Results
0	PFAS
0	Indicator

Home

Your sample had one of the highest levels in the study of PFBS.

PFAS (per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances) are water-, heat-, and oil-resistant chemicals found

waterproof clothing, floor waxes, nonstick cookware, grease-proof food packaging, and even

military bases, airports, and fire training areas. Potential sources of PFAS contamination in

some dental floss. They are also added to certain firefighting foams that are commonly used at

in a wide range of consumer products such as stain-resistant carpets and upholstery,

Cape Cod groundwater include runoff from landfills and wastewater from homes and

Currently, there are no federal standards regulating PFAS in drinking water. The U.S.

chemicals, PFOS and PFOA. In 2018, the Massachusetts Department of Environmental

Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has issued non-enforceable guidelines for two PFAS

Protection (MassDEP) issued a health guideline of 70 parts per trillion (ppt or ng/L) for the total

amount of five PFAS chemicals (PFOA, PFOS, PFNA, PFHpA, and PFHxS) in public water supplies.

How are PFAS regulated in drinking water?

Where do these chemicals come from?

s of septic	
om	

 Metals from plumbing
 Other metals

Overall Study Results

What You Can Do

In Your Home
 In Your

Community • Treat Your Water

Common Questions

About STEEP Methods

Contact Us

Print Report

Sign Out

Table of Your Results

Why might these chemicals be a health concern?

Nearly all Americans have PFAS in their blood. Because of their strong chemical bonds, PFAS tend to persist in the body and the environment. Some PFAS chemicals are difficult for humans to excrete and can stay in our bodies for years. Exposures to PFAS chemicals have been associated with higher cholesterol, liver and kidney problems, decreased vaccine response in children, testicular and kidney cancer, changes in breast development, thyroid disruption, and effects on growth and development.

Of the more than 4,700 PFAS on the global market, most of these chemicals have not yet been studied for health effects. Nevertheless, scientists' understanding of PFAS is expanding rapidly as these chemicals are the target of significant new research and regulation.

Common Questions

- How can I reduce my exposure to each of these chemicals?
- How do I get my water tested again?
- Lalready have water treatment, why am I still high in some chemicals?
- Is there a safe level of exposure for <u>PFAS chemicals?</u>
- <u>Was my cancer or other illness</u> caused by my chemical exposures?
- What does "not detected" mean?
- What do the units "ng/L" mean for <u>PFAS levels?</u>
- Which chemicals did you test for?
- Why did you select these chemicals to study?
- Why do I have more than one result per chemical?
- Why am I high in only some PFAS chemicals?

Want to call us?

Do you want help interpreting your results? Feel free to call us at 617-318-5261

From: STEEP SRP





Reports support environmental health literacy Transparency about uncertainty

Chemicals in the study "have been detected at different levels in people throughout the U.S. Detecting these chemicals ...doesn't mean you will get sick."

"So far, studies of people have found that higher levels of PFAS are linked to:

- Increased cholesterol levels
- Decreased vaccine response in children ...

Based on what we know now, we can't link your results to specific health concerns for you or your family. ..."

"Since we don't do experiments on people, we often learn how chemicals may affect health by testing in animals or cells, similar to the way we test new drugs for safety."





Smartphone reports improve access



Welcome to My CIOB/ECHO Report

- View your CIOB/ECHO study results
- Learn about the chemicals in the study
- · Reduce chemicals in your home and community
- Learn about overall study results









Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health

My CIOB/ECHO Report

🖶 PBDEs



Your sample had a higher level of PBDE 153 than 75% of participants in CIOB/ECHO.

Español

Jump to tips to lower your exposure

What are PBDEs?

PBDE (polybrominated diphenyl ether) flame retardants were widely used in furniture foam from 1960 until 2004, and in electronics like TVs, computers, and cables until 2013. Chemical flame retardants are one way of making it harder for products to catch fire. PBDEs were phased out of use in the U.S. due to heath concerns, but people can still be exposed to PBDEs from products made before the phase-out. Also, because many PBDEs take years to be eliminated from the body, PBDE levels may reflect exposures from a long time ago.

Although PBDEs are no longer added to products, manufacturers sometimes use other types of flame retardants, some of which also have serious health.

Back to Summary



Your Results

We tested your sample for 18 PBDEs. Your results for 12 PBDEs are in the graphs below. Another 6 PBDEs were not detected in anyone in the study. These are not shown in the graphs.

✓ Legend



- Typical U.S. woman 20-39 years old
- Other women in CIOB/ECHO
- Women in CIOB/ECHO who did not have the chemical detected in their sample
- **ng/g** nanograms of the chemical per gram of **lipid** lipid (fat) in your blood

Click on any graph to see an expanded version with more details.





People value information to reduce exposure and protect health

 Individual and community action

Home

Your Results

PEAS

Community Results

What You Can Do
 Water
 Home
 Food
 Community

About the Exposure Assessments

Resources For Your

Table of Your Results

Print Report

Doctor

What You Can Do

Learn ways to lower PFAS exposure for yourself, your family, and your community.

Water



Home



Food



<u>Community</u>





Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health Research Institute





Detox Me walks you through simple, *research-based tips on how to reduce harmful chemicals*.

- *Track* your progress and get reminders.
- **Scan** product barcodes to find relevant tips.
- Share tips with friends, family.



www.detoxmeapp.org
Also in Spanish!



BY SILENT SPRING INSTITUTE



Action tips matched to exposures









Study participant views on collective action

- Participants in 3 focus groups of peripartum moms: •
 - Motivated to act to protect family health despite time burdens
 - Built new ideas on their other experiences of civic participation
 - Asked for time-conscious tactics and straightforward information
 - Expressed willingness to share knowledge with their network
 - Were wary of advocating exposure reduction in settings such as with childcare providers

In collaboration with ECHO / CIOB, IKIDS peripartum cohorts. C. Oksas et al., in preparation.





Report-Back Outcomes

What happens when people get reports?

How have people responded to personal reports?

- Gratitude people want their reports
- Increased trust in the research team
- Learning environmental health literacy
- Brainstorming about exposures and exposure reduction
- Reflection on family illnesses, with understanding of uncertainty
- Pride in contribution to science and community health







How do people use results?

- Personal choices
- Medical settings
- Policy change



Emmett, 2009, JOEM; Brody, 2009, AJPH; Adams, 2011, JHSB; Hernick, 2011, EHP; Brown, 2011, EHP; Ramirez-Andreotta 2016 Env. Health; Perovich, 2018, Env. Health; NASEM, 2018





Public Health Initiatives from Report-Back

- Study participants helped win a court case to limit a Chevron refinery
- Public housing residents used reports to get better attention from doctors for their child's asthma
- WWBC office worker "controls" advocated for new purchasing rules to reduce flame retardants in office furnishings
- Communities use PFAS results on social media to engage elected officials to change policies







Experiment: Do personal reports matter?



• Participants spent twice as long on personal reports, creating more opportunity to increase EHL





my chds report







Participants generally reported positive feelings both before and after receiving personal reports







Participants generally reported positive feelings both before and after receiving personal reports







Moderate increases in worry may motivate action



• Among Black participants, increased worry - associated with high exposure



New Study: Research on Teaching Report-Back to Researchers and Clinicians

- Collaboration with
 - ERGO
 - PROTECT

Environmental Reproductive and Glucose Outcomes Study (ERGO)

About Team For Participants News Publications Contact Us

WARD UNIVERSITY

Environmental Health Study Dedicated to Improving Women's Reproductive and Long-term Health ERO's a assess hish ossess to be assess high ossess high high ossess high high ossess high ossess high oss













Northeastern University Social Science Environmental Health Research Institute

Acknowledgements

- Silent Spring Institute Ruthann Rudel, Erik Haugsjaa
- Northeastern SSEHRI
- UC Berkeley Rachel Morello-Frosch
- Harvard Human Computer Interaction Group Krzysztof Gajos, Ken Arnold
- **Participating studies**, including: CDC/HUD Green Housing Study, Child Health and Development Studies, BCERP ELLA Study, Women Workers Biomonitoring Collaborative, PROTECT SRP, STEEP SRP, CIOB, IKIDS, PRESTO
- Supported by NIEHS, NSF, CA Breast Cancer Research Program

Publications: https://silentspring.org/project/reporting-individual-exposure-results?pubs=all



