TECHNOLOGY QUICK REFERENCE SHEET [ENTER Project Name HERE]

Technology Name: [ENTER TECHNOLOGY NAME HERE] Summary of Project-Specific Performance Information [DO NOT ADD ADDITIONAL TEXT HERE] Project Role: [For what Analytical Information Provided: [List target analytes or analyte class actually reported; the reporting limits used for each analyte; and the matrices actually project decisions were the data analyzed. Also, report how the data were "crunched" or utilized (For example, were from this technology used? Ex: the data used to generate contaminant maps? Were the field data crunched locate hotspots, chase plume, monitor remedial technology statistically? Was a statistic, such as an average or median, compared to action levels performance, regulatory to make project decisions, or were individual data points compared to an action level, etc.?)] compliance, risk data, etc.] **Total Cost:** [Total cost for generating data using this **Cost Per Sample:** [Total cost divided by the number equipment, including QC—can be approximated/rounded] of samples analyzed—if appropriate] Project Cost Breakdown [DO NOT ADD ADDITIONAL TEXT HERE] Instrument Cost: **Consumables Cost:** Labor Cost: [cost of Waste Disposal Cost: [especially if there any operator; may be expressed [cost if purchased or rented; [reagents, tubes, etc.; may be expressed per unit, such as per per unit, such as per day, etc.] special or unusual disposal specify which] fees] kit. etc.] Site-Specific Bias/Precision Achieved: [This section documents that the data generated were Throughput Achieved: of known quality. From the QC data for this particular project, first provide: Number of samples/unit an estimate of the field method bias (e.g., from matrix spike recoveries, LCS results, PE time and/or per operator] sample results comparison with fixed lab splits, etc.), and an estimate of the field method precision (e.g., from LCS precision, PE sample results, analytical dups, field sample dups, matrix spike dups, etc.). Then, list each performed QC check or QC parameter. Specify the project-specific role that each QC parameter fulfilled (i.e., report the information it provided), and report the specific values obtained for each during the course of project implementation (note that this information should have been specified in the project-specific QAPP during project planning). When reporting the values for each QC parameter, report 1) the range of values obtained, 2) the mean and/or median value for that range, and 3) the number of QC results comprising that range of values. (Note: If split-sample comparisons with a fixed lab method are reported, also specify what the fixed lab method was.) Document how any analytical interferences relevant to the interpretation of this project's data were controlled for. If the project-specific QA/QC procedures were inadequate to establish that the data were of known and documented quality, explain how the data were used, and why that data use was acceptable in the context of this project.] General Commercial Information (Information valid as of [insert date]) [DO NOT ADD MORE TEXT] Vendor Contact: [name and Vendor Information: **Limitations on Performance:** phone/e-mail of a specific [technology vendor name, address, [Logistical or technical issues to be aware of when person or technical contact at phone, website, etc.] planning to use the technology; sample prep or extraction the vendor, if any] equipment required] Availability/Rates: **Principle of Analytical Power Requirements: Instrument Weight** [generally quoted rates] **Operation:** [in general [Battery-operated? Plugs in?] and/or Footprint: [size Voltage requirements?] terms] and weight] General Performance Information [DO NOT ADD TEXT HERE] Known or Potential Interferences: [usually listed in package insert or other instrument/method operating information]

Applicable	Analytes Measurable with	Other General Accuracy/Precision Information:
Media/Matrices: [all	Expected Detection Limits:	[May be from vendor claims, EPA SITE or ETV evaluation
potential—even if not analyzed		reports, SW-846 or other method performance data. Do not
in this project]		report this project's analytical performance here.]
Wastes Generated	measuring, with optimal or	Rate of Throughput: [Under optimal, expected or
Requiring Special Disposal:	generic DLs—even if not	generic conditions. Do not report the throughput specific to
1 0 1	examined or achieved in this	this project in this space.]
	project.]	