Table 1: Technology overview Alternative Waste technologies – Summary-Technical Details

| Technology Provider | Technology | Scale+ | Pest Comp. treated | Related comp treated | Validation project experience | Ranking++ | Additional Remarks | Others | |
|--|--|--------|--------------------------|---|---|--|--|--------|--|
| Eco Logic | GPCR | F | DDT and mixed | PCBs | | DA Commercial operation of full-scale GPCR plant treating DDT, PCBs and other organochlorine pesticides | | | |
| Eco Logic | GPCR | F | НСВ | | | DA | DA Commercial-scale engineering trial treating increasing quantities of HCB | | |
| Eco Logic | GPCR | F | | PCBs | | DA Treatment of PCB-contaminated material including electrical equipment, oil (askarel), concrete, personal protective equipment, and other dunnage. | | | |
| Eco Logic | GPCR | | DDT, DDD, DDE soil | | DA | | Treatability testing on pesticide- contaminated soil from the Naval Air Station Patuxent River Site, MD. | | |
| +Key: F - Full-scale applications completed | | | | ++Key: Applicability ranking for pesticides | | | | | |
| P - Pilot/Demonstration scale completed; no F-applications | | | | DA – Direct applicable | | | | | |
| | | | | | | FS 1 – Full scale within reasonable period possible 0-2 years | | | |
| | T - Theoretical applicable, no B, P, F applications | | | | | FS 2 – Full scale within considerable period possible 2-5 years | | | |
| * Vendor claims p | * Vendor claims performance of demonstration, but no data provided | | | | **Validation on the basis of info provided in Table 2 and 3 | | | | |

Table 2: Overview project experience per technology supplier

| Location/project | Contaminants | Amount treated in tons | Results incl. DRE, Pre-treat, Post treat Emissions, energy consumption, costs* | Client References Name, address, contact person phone, Email, fax |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Kwinana, Western Australia - Routine Operations and Regulatory Testing | DDT (in a toluene mixture); other organochlorine pesticides; PCBs | Approximately 500 tons pesticides, 1500 tons PCBs | Regulatory Testing Results: Destruction Efficiencies of 99.999984% and 99.999968 % for DDT and 99.99998% for PCBs (takes into account gaseous, liquid and solid outputs); no PCBs or DDT detected in outputs. | Please see list at end of tables. |
| Kwinana, Western Australia – Pilot- and Commercial-scale treatability testing | Pilot testing – Laboratory-scale study treating pure HCB solid and mixed hexachlorinated solid | Pilot Testing: Treatment of 2 sample types: pure HCB and solid containing 66% HCB, 17% HCBD, 2% HCE, 15% unknown Engineering Trials: Total of 8 tons of HCB waste | Pilot Testing Results: ` Destruction Efficiencies for both waste samples was 99.9999% for HCB; no analysed hexachlorinated compounds were detected in post-test scrubber water. Commercial-Scale Engineering Trial: Destruction and Removal Efficiencies for HCB in Tests 1, 2, and 3 respectively are 99.9999974%, 99.9999938%, and 99.9999922% | |
| General Motors of Canada Limited, Commercial-scale testing | Regulatory Testing - High-strength PCB oil Routine Operations - PCBs | 89 tons PCB oil and water waste, 576 tons electrical equipment and misc. bulk solids, 191 tons soil, concrete, and asphalt, 70 tons soil | Regulatory Testing Results: Destruction Efficiencies of 99.999996%, 99.999985%, and 99.9999808% for PCBs, 99.9999836%, 99.999972%, and 99.999971% for chlorobenzenes, and 99.999 to 99.9999% for polychlorinated dibenzo-p-dioxins and polychlorinated dibenzofurans (takes into account gaseous, liquid and solid outputs). | |
| Brown & Root Environmental – testing conducted at Eco Logic's test facility, Rockwood, Ontario | Treatability testing – pesticide-contaminated soil | Conducted 2 test runs treating 7.5 pounds per hour of soil for over 2.5 hours. Soil contained 690 and 440 ppm DDT for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. | Destruction and Removal Efficiency for DDT in the soil was 99.999987% and 99.999985% for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. No DDT was detected in the process outputs except for Run 2 treated soil, which contained 0.004 ppm DDT. | |

Table3: Overview detailed project information per project – Project name (from Table 2):

| Location project | Pre-treat mg/kg | Post-treat mg/kg | DREs | Emissions 1.Air (HCl, Dioxins & furans etc) 2. Water, 3. Waste (slags) | Energy consumption | Costs(Capital, operating costs) | Others, remarks |
|--|--|---|--|---|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
| Kwinana, Regulatory Testing | July 1995: 30.3% DDT February 1996: 5.6% DDT | Stack Gas: < 1.7 µg/m³ (1995); < 0.80 µg/m³ (1996) | 99.999984 % (1995) 99.999968 % (1996) Note: includes all outputs, not just stack gas | Waste-specific compounds non-detect in air, solid and liquid outputs; no slag created; detailed data no longer available | | Prototype plant - cost data not valid; current estimates are approximately US\$2500 - \$3000 per tonne for bulk solid and liquid waste feeds; approximately US\$200 and up (depending on quantity) for soil and sediment feeds | |
| Kwinana,— Pilot- and Commercial- scale treatability testing | Waste Input: 514kg-Test 1 1584kg-Test 2, 4610kg-Test 3 | Treated Solids: 2kg-Test 1 23kg-Test 2 94kg-Test 3 | HCB: 99.999974% 99.9999938% 99.9999922% Chlorobenzene: 99.9999863% 99.9999869% | | | See above | |
| General Motors of Canada Limited, Regulatory testing | 50% PCBs 30% Chlorobenzenes | | PCBs: 99.999996%, 99.9999985%, 99.999997% for Tests 1, 2, and 3, respectively. Chlorobenzenes 99.9999842% 99.9999985% 99.999977% for Tests 1, 2, and 3, respectively. | | | Demonstration plant only, and so cost data not applicable to commercial operations. See information on Kwinana site above. | |
| Brown & Root Environmental – testing conducted at Eco Logic's test facility, Rockwood, Ontario | Untreated Soil: 690 and 440 ppm DDT for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. | Treated Soil: <0.006 and 0.004 ppm DDT for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. | DRE DDT: 99.999987% and 99.999985% for Runs 1 and 2, respectively. | | | Demonstration plant only, and so cost data not applicable to commercial operations. See information on Kwinana site above. | |

Table 4: Client References for GPCR Plant in Australia

| Organization | Contact | Description/Notes |
|--|---|---|
| Western Australia Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) | Local Rep - Paul Byrnes, Manager Kwinana Branch Tel 61-8-9419-5500 Perth Rep - Adam Parker, Director Waste Management Division Tel 61-8-9222-7160 | General knowledge of our Kwinana operation in 1999/2000 oversaw the processing of several hundred tonnes of Chemical Collection pesticide waste from Western Australia |
| CSPB | Nathan Dixon - Manager Laboratory Tel 61-8-9411-8221 | - Chemical manufacturer - Supplied PCB waste for destruction |
| Nufarm | Chris Lee - Plant Manager Tel 61-8-9411-4000 | Agricultural chemical manufacturer Supplied over 100 tonnes of 2,4-D, other phenoxy acetic acids, and other miscellaneous pesticides, including DPE, rubbish, soils, old drums, etc., for destruction |
| Western Power | Roman Mandyczewsky - Principal Scientific Officer Tel 61-8-9326-4895 | Western Australia's electricity generation and distribution company supplied mostly PCBs, but also roughly 40 tonnes of Dieldrin contaminated sludge, oil, residue from old tanks of 'pole-mix' (power pole insecticide) Mr. Mandyczewsky is also aware of the original DDT work performed for the Dept. of Agriculture |
| HATLAR Environmental | George Hatzimihalis - Managing Director Tel 61-3-9629-5300 | HATLAR Environmental managed many of Western Australia's used pesticide collection and redrumming operations Used the GPCR plant exclusively for destruction |
| ESI | Trevor Bridle - Technical director Tel 61-8-9473-3302. | - Member of Australia's National Advisory Board |

Estimated Utility Requirements for Semi-Mobile GPCR Plant Treating 70 Tonnes Pesticides per Month

| Utility | Units | Quantity |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------|
| Nitrogen | m³/month | 3,800 |
| Carbon Dioxide | kg/month | 2,260 |
| Caustic (50%) | tonnes/month | 38 |
| Fresh Water (for scrubbing system) | L/month | 48,000 |
| Cooling Water (recirculating volume) | L/min | 1,600 |
| Power (peak demand) | kW | 1,000 |
| Natural Gas (normal usage) | tonnes/month | 20 |
| Natural Gas (maximum) | tonnes/month | 122 |
| Hydrogen | m ³ /month | 122,600 |