# **Green Remediation Focus**

Minimizing the environmental footprint of site cleanup

# A Profile in Using Green Remediation Strategies

## Additional profiles available at <u>clu-in.org/greenremediation/profiles</u>

**Pharmacia & Upjohn Company LLC Site** North Haven, Connecticut RCRA Corrective Action

**Cleanup Objectives:** Provide long-term protection of human health and the environment by remediating soil, sediment and groundwater impacted by past releases of manufacturing wastes, wastewater and wastewater treatment residuals, including contaminants such as volatile organic compounds, polychlorinated biphenyls and lead. A corrective action interim measure involved constructing and operating a groundwater pump-and-treat (P&T) system. The final corrective action involved an upgrade of the P&T system, installation of a perimeter hydraulic barrier wall, excavation and onsite consolidation of impacted soils, construction of low-permeability soil cover systems, in situ thermal remediation (ISTR) to remove dense non-aqueous phase liquids (DNAPL), sediment dredging in tidal wetlands, extensive ecological restoration, and preparation of a portion of the site for future redevelopment opportunities. The 78-acre site is located along the Quinnipiac River, approximately eight miles from the Atlantic coastline of the Long Island Sound.

**Green Remediation Strategy:** The strategy involved: (1) conducting a quantitative analysis of the carbon footprint of remedial activities and identifying opportunities to reduce the footprint; (2) incorporating green remediation best management practices (BMPs) during remedy construction; (3) revitalizing the site's ecological systems in a manner that complements the Quinnipiac River ecosystem; and (4) integrating the community's vision for future use. Key studies and findings affecting the strategy included:

- Results of the quantitative assessment of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions of corrective measure alternatives. The assessment focused on emissions associated with:
  - o Transportation of materials required for remedy construction.
  - o Major energy use relating to treatment or offsite transportation and disposal activities.
  - o Long-term operation and maintenance (O&M).
- Results of a corrective measure study, including the carbon footprint analysis, which found that:
  - A reduction in the rate of contaminated groundwater extraction could be realized by adding low-permeability cover systems to prevent stormwater infiltration and a perimeter hydraulic barrier wall to limit the influence of the Quinnipiac River on groundwater levels.
  - Energy usage for treating the extracted groundwater could be significantly decreased by reducing the rate of groundwater extraction.
  - Reuse of onsite soil, sediment and debris as fill beneath constructed cover systems could significantly reduce the volume of clean soil/fill requiring import to the site.
  - ISTR operations could be optimized by identifying, in the pilot study phase, the most effective heating temperatures to achieve a balance of energy input (and associated CO<sub>2</sub> emissions) versus mass removal rates.

The ASTM *Standard Guide for Greener Cleanups* (E2893-13) was used to formally document the BMPs and to monitor the environmental footprint reductions achievable or gained by incorporating the BMPs into design and implementation of the final corrective action. A total of 129 identified BMPs potentially applied to the site. In accordance with the standard guide, the applicable BMPs were assigned priorities of high, medium or low and further evaluated to identify influencing factors such as implementation ease or cost.

The final BMP implementation plan, as documented in a site-specific greener cleanup technical summary, reflected more than 80 BMPs including 8 for site assessment, 26 for the P&T system, 9 for sediment dredging, 22 for the cover

systems and 18 for the ISTR system. Due to the site's proximity to Long Island Sound, design of the remedy also considered climate change impacts such as 500-year flood events and rising sea levels that may affect long-term remedy implementation. In 2016, the project merited a GreenCircle Award from the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection.

### **Results:**

- Minimizing the carbon footprint over the course of cleanup activities, with mass CO<sub>2</sub> emissions estimated at:
  - o 3,270 tons for installation of all cap and cover systems.
  - o 5,950 tons for ISTR pilot study and full-scale implementation combined.
  - o 20,450 tons for 30-year long-term O&M.
- Optimizing the groundwater treatment train through intermittent modifications over time to reduce energy usage and improve treatment effectiveness. Upon optimization completion, it is estimated that energy usage will be reduced by 40 percent. Upcoming optimization measures include modifying the advanced oxidation component by replacing the UV-peroxide process with an ozone-peroxide process.
- Removed an estimated 26,000 to 41,000 pounds of contaminant mass from groundwater during pilot-scale ISTR operations in 2012, using a total of 1,122,000 kWh of energy. Although the system temperature peaked at 228°C, performance monitoring demonstrated that a temperature of 100°C was sufficient to meet performance standards while lowering energy expenditures.
- Removed an estimated 229,700 pounds of contaminant mass during full-scale ISTR operations in 2015-2016, using a total of 11,950,557 kWh of energy.
- Used solar-powered air monitoring equipment during both the pilot-scale ISTR and full-scale ISTR.
- Used the excavated sediment, drill cuttings and excess grading soil and debris as grading fill below three cover systems for residual waste, as an alternative to offsite disposal or importing fill.
- Used approximately 2,465 tons of ground, granulated blast furnace slag (a repurposed manufacturing byproduct) rather than bentonite (a natural resource) to construct the low-permeability hydraulic barrier wall, which surrounds approximately 80% of the site. Field tests indicated that a hydraulic barrier wall constructed with Portland cement/slag had a lower permeability than one constructed with Portland cement/bentonite.
- Optimized design of the groundwater monitoring well network through use of real-time data collection technologies such as a membrane interface probe. Downhole video imagery was used to confirm subsurface features and optimize installation of the monitoring wells.
- Reduced the rate of groundwater extraction from an average of 180,000 gallons per day to approximately 94,000 gallons per day by installing the hydraulic barrier wall and the low-permeability cover systems rather than relying on groundwater P&T alone. The groundwater is extracted from seven extraction wells and two groundwater collection trenches, treated at the onsite facility (currently via biological, chemical coagulation, UV oxidation and hydrogen peroxide processes) and discharged into the Quinnipiac River.
- Constructed 6 acres of onsite freshwater wetlands to store stormwater shed by the soil covers. The stormwater flows to the wetlands via natural gradients without active pumping. The constructed wetlands also provide critical habitat for freshwater plant and animal species.
- Selected shrub and grass species that are native to the region's upland meadows to revegetate the top layer of each cover system, the back-filled excavation areas and outlying disturbed land. Care of the meadows involves maintaining pedestrian or utility-vehicle traffic corridors, managing invasive species, and revegetating when needed.
- Sequestering atmospheric carbon on more than half of the 78-acre site through ongoing maintenance of vegetation in the wetlands and upland meadows.
- Established an onsite ecological preserve comprising about 60 acres of tidal marshes, inland wetlands and upland meadows. The preserve strengthens habitat linkages in the Quinnipiac River corridor, enhances local diversity of flora and fauna, and provides critical habitat for species such as osprey. As of June 2021, more than 45 different species of breeding birds were observed at the site.
- Gave preference to local sources of labor, services and materials to further reduce the project's offsite transportation-related footprint. During the corrective measures implementation, over 40% of the project's labor, services and materials were sourced within 25 miles of the site and over 55% were sourced within the State of Connecticut.

- Strengthened support for the remedy and long-term stewardship of the site by engaging local stakeholders in
  reuse planning, baseline ecological studies and post-remediation ecological restoration planning. Stakeholder
  groups included the North Haven Citizens' Advisory Panel, North Haven Trail Association, North Haven Land
  Trust, North Haven Open Space Advisory Committee and Quinnipiac River Watershed Association as well as
  academic institutions with environmental programs (such as the University of New Haven and the Yale School of
  Forestry and Environmental Science).
- Conducting long-term O&M and monitoring of the site remedy through a stewardship permit issued by the Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection in 2021. The permit ensures continued remedy protectiveness of human health and the environment while allowing potential future use of the west-side development area.

**Property End Use:** Approximately two-thirds of the property has been restored as an ecological preserve with restored habitat and an interpretive trail system for restricted public access and environmental education. Seventeen acres adjacent to an existing commuter rail line are available for potential commercial, light industrial or transit-oriented uses.

**Points of Contact:** Juan Perez, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 1; <u>Craig Bobrowiecki</u>, Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection; and <u>Russell Downey</u> or <u>Tom Donohue</u>, Pfizer Inc.



Aerial View of Site: The Pharmacia & Upjohn Company LLC site, located along the Quinnipiac River, contains former industrial areas, inland wetlands, meadows and tidal wetlands. Past manufacturing operations at the site involved use of lagoons to treat wastewater and two associated piles to manage the wastewater treatment residuals.



**Results of Carbon Footprint Analysis:** The carbon footprint analysis examined four corrective measure alternatives (CMAs) considered in the remedy decision process: CMA #2, maintenance of existing site conditions and long-term O&M; CMA #3, containment of DNAPL, soil/sediment consolidation, and cover system construction; CMA #4 (the selected remedy), in situ thermal remediation of DNAPL, soil/sediment excavation and consolidation, and cover system construction; and CMA #5, excavation and offsite disposal of DNAPL and DNAPL-impacted soil/fill, extensive soil/sediment excavation and onsite consolidation, and cover system construction.



**Solar-Powered Monitoring:** Portable photovoltaic panels directly powered the air monitoring equipment deployed during corrective measures implementation.



**Hydraulic Barrier Installation:** The slurry used to construct the hydraulic barrier wall consisted of a 3:1 mix of blast furnace slag and Portland cement. The wall extends to an average depth of 17 feet and is keyed into underlying clay.



Low-Permeability Cover System Design: The upper protective layer of each cover system includes 6 inches of topsoil with native grass species installed on an 18-inch layer of clean imported soil. Porewater collected during consolidation of the "South Pile" wastewater treatment residuals was transferred to the groundwater treatment plant. The "North Pile", which has different geotechnical characteristics, did not require consolidation.



**Cover Construction:** The reuse of excavated soil to grade the surface beneath constructed covers significantly reduced the need to import clean fill from offsite sources.



**Upland Meadows:** New meadows adjacent to and above the three engineered cover systems contain native plant species such as switchgrass, common witch hazel and bayberry shrubs. The meadows provide habitat for diverse fauna including pollinators, songbirds and reptiles.



**Groundwater Treatment Plant:** The extracted groundwater is conveyed to the onsite groundwater treatment plant where it is treated via a biological process to remove volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, a solids removal system, and UV light and peroxide to remove recalcitrant compounds such as 1,4-dioxane.



**ISTR Field Schematic:** The pilot-scale ISTR system used 36 heating wells extending to depths of 36 feet below ground surface to treat DNAPL that accumulated at the top of "Unit 2" low-permeability clay. Hydraulic control was provided during the pilot study by installing a steel sheet pile wall keyed approximately 5 feet into the clay layer around the perimeter of the well field. The pilot study demonstrated that the steel sheet pile wall was unnecessary for full-scale ISTR implementation.



**Groundwater Control:** Contaminated groundwater is extracted from wells located within the perimeter of the hydraulic barrier wall. The slurry-based barrier wall is anticipated to indefinitely minimize the potential for undesired capture of river water rather than contaminated groundwater.



Full-Scale ISTR: The full-scale ISTR system covered two areas occupying approximately one acre.



**Tidal Wetlands:** Tidal wetlands within the property boundary were restored after focused dredging was conducted to remove contaminated sediments in tidal mud flats. O&M of any nearby groundwater extraction well (inside white housing) involves a relatively small footprint.



**Stormwater Management:** Stormwater shed from the cover systems flows to tiered wetlands that were constructed above the water table. A geosynthetic liner at the bottom of each constructed wetland additionally prevents contact between stormwater and contaminated groundwater.



**Constructed Wetlands Habitat:** The new freshwater wetlands provide habitat likely to attract green frogs, painted turtles, blue herons and other migratory or resident fauna.



**Trail System:** The interpretative trail system passes through or around approximately 60 acres of tidal marshes, inland wetlands and upland meadows in the onsite ecological preserve.



**Perimeter Trails:** Trails along the ecological preserve's perimeter were constructed above the path of the subsurface hydraulic barrier wall, which is anticipated to remain in place indefinitely.



**Future Reuse Vision:** Approximately two-thirds of this 78-acre site has been restored as an ecological preserve. Seventeen acres on the west side, along the active railroad line, will be made available for redevelopment for commercial or light industrial purposes or regional infrastructure. The adjacent southwest parcel was formerly part of the manufacturing site but is now owned by a separate private party.



**Osprey Nesting Platform:** Since 2006, a pair of ospreys has nested on the property, laying eggs and raising multiple offspring. A web cam mounted on the groundwater treatment facility building runs continuously while the migrating osprey are onsite, providing unique views of the bird perch.



Low Permeability Hydraulic Barrier Wall: The hydraulic barrier wall runs a continuous length of 5,384 feet to prevent migration of impacted groundwater into surrounding properties and sensitive ecosystems of the Quinnipiac River. The hydraulic barrier wall was deemed unnecessary along a western portion of the site perimeter (adjacent to operating railroad tracks) because natural groundwater flow is from west to east.



**Third-Party Certification:** The Connecticut Department of Energy and Environmental Protection recognized Pharmacia & Upjohn Company LLC as a 2016 GreenCircle Sustainability Leader.

#### Update: August 2021

#### Pharmacia & Upjohn Company LLC Site

http://clu-in.org/greenremediation/profiles/pharmaciaupjohn



United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response (5203P) For more information: clu-in.org/greenremediation Carlos Pachon (pachon.carlos@epa.gov)