



Investigating Chemicals from Wastewater Discharges in Tinkers Creek—*Practical approaches to a successful field deployment*



Tinkers Creek above Dunham Road

John Tertuliani
David Alvarez
Edward Furlong
Michael Meyer

U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey



U.S. Department of the Interior
U.S. Geological Survey



Chemical research is no longer a shot in the dark

But it takes cooperation





City of Twinsburg
Summit County

City of Solon
Portage County
National Park Service

City of Bedford
City of Bedford Heights
Ohio EPA


Tinkers Creek



The largest tributary to the Cuyahoga River

3

A textbook study area



Why study Tinkers Creek?

TMDL for the Cuyahoga River reported unknown sources of impairment in Tinkers Creek, recommended a study to determine sources of impairment



(Fish population did not exploit available habitat)

WWTP effluent as flow

Percent of effluent in Tinkers Creek

- 75% during low flow
- 27% mean annual flow



27 mg/d discharge from the 7 WWTPs

Strategy: What is coming to the stream?

Sample the known sources—bracket the WWTPs



Downstream station



Upstream station

Polar Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler (POCIS)




Length @ 10.5 inches

4-inch Schedule 40 PVC



8

A standard POCIS device has 41 cm² of effective sampling surface area



Two configurations are typically used:

Pharmaceuticals

Generic (for most pesticides, hormones, etc.)

Why use POCIS?

- Logistics: Cannot duplicate application with field crews
- Timing: Peak-flow and WWTP capture guaranteed
- Ease: No moving parts or adjustments
- Concentrates trace levels of chemicals
- Time-weighted concentrations
 - Important for risk assessment determinations

Disadvantages

- Vandalism: Can be a problem in popular areas
- Deployment: Anchoring in position
- Chemical analyses: Limited by target chemicals, available methods, and laboratories

Deployment

POCIS position critical when collecting a sample below the outfall



↑
Complete mixing is obvious here



↑
But what about here?

12

Substrate can make deployment difficult

Bedrock and boulder substrates are the most challenging places to deploy a monitoring device for an extended period




Anchoring is next to impossible



Swift water can be unmanageable

Anchoring the device



Exposed roots and dead stumps



Trees offer a solid point to attach cable, but the cable can be a liability during high water; it will pull the device to the bank

Boulders and riprap



Mid-channel anchor best option



Cable length



Longer cables exert greater tension on the canister, allow greater movement



17

Depth

Can limit sampling opportunities



Smaller streams may become too shallow in the summer



Device will move with an increase in flow



To the bank



To the depositional area

Steep banks favorable



Device less apt to rest on bank shelf
when water recedes (if cable is short)

Debris



Branched trees worse

Use two separate cables,
one from each bank, the
shorter cable should break


The threat of debris restricts
cable placement, safety is also
a concern



21

Vandalism possible

Because the device is visible



Visible from every direction



Retrieval


Placed in air-tight can and
shipped with ice packs



Smaller can is a field blank (POCIS ring
and semi-permeable membrane device)



General processing scheme for POCIS



What is next for Tinkers Creek?

Tissue study on fish

Future R&D?

- Compare cold water data to warm water data

What to consider for your study?

- Timing
 - Stream size, other data collected, school year
- Canister placement
 - Mixing, anchoring for high water
- Tissue study on fish
- Target chemicals

John Tertuliani
tertulia@usgs.gov

David Alvarez
dalvarez@usgs.gov



Questions?

27