

In Situ Thermal Approaches to
NAPL Remediation for RCRA
Corrective Action

Jim Cummings
OSRTI/OSWER/USEPA

Why *In Situ* Treatment?

- Avoid cost/risk of excavation/transportation
- Address contamination not readily amenable to excavation
 - Beneath buildings/structure
 - Beneath water table
 - At Depth

Beneficial Effects of Increased Temperature


- Increased Volatility
- Reduced Viscosity
- (Slightly) Increased Solubility
- Mixture of Water and Contaminants boil at lower temperature than normal contaminant boiling point
- Increased hydrolysis rates
- Thermal processes less affected by heterogeneity

Heating Approaches

- Steam Enhanced Extraction (SEE)
- Electrical Resistive Heating (ERH)
- Thermal Conductive Heating/In Situ Thermal Desorption (ISTD)

STEAM ENHANCED
EXTRACTION (SEE)

Steam Injection Cross-Section – Visalia, Ca Pole Yard NPL Site



Visalia 1995-97: 1.2M lb Creosote Removed

A yield equivalent to 3500 years of pump-and-treat

Prior to steam injection
the removal rate was
approximately 10 lb per week

204,000 lb
Vapor Hydrocarbon
Burned In Boilers

607,000 lb
Free Product
LNAPL & DNAPL

210,000 lb
In Situ Destruction
(Removed CO₂)

195,000 lb
Dissolved Hydrocarbon
Activated Carbon Filtration



ISTD Processes


Thermal Conduction
into Soil

Vaporization of Fluids
and Contaminants within
Soil


Collection of Vapors

In-Situ Oxidation and
Pyrolysis - >95-99% In-Situ
Destruction

Aboveground Treatment
of Vapors (may be simpler
than illustrated)



Visalia Progress Groundwater Quality - Pentachlorophenol & Creosote




Costs at Visalia

- > Total Project Cost- **\$21.5 million** 1996 through mid 2001
- > Unit Cost per Cubic Yard of Soil Treated
 - > Actual Costs \$57
 - > With Lessons Learned \$38
- > Comparative Cost per Gallon of Creosote Removed
 - > Pump and Treat \$26,000
 - > Steam \$130
- > Estimated Time to Remove 1.2 Million Pounds of Creosote
 - > Pump and Treat 3,250 years
 - > Steam 3 years


ELECTRICAL RESISTIVE HEATING (ERH)

Full-Scale ERH Subsurface X-Section



Courtesy of
URS

Full-Scale Implementation Multiple Arrays



ERH - TCE DNAPL Remediation
Air Force Plant Four
Fort Worth, Texas



Photo
Courtesy of
URS

Full-Scale ERH at AF Plant 4

- 1/2 acre area inside/outside of Bldg. 181 -manufacturing opns 24/7
- 70 electrodes and co-located Vapor Recovery wells in and around existing tanks/ piping/equipment (32° angles)
- Heterogeneous silt, clay and gravel with a highly weathered limestone, competent bedrock at 32 ft bg
- Groundwater at 27 ft bg
- ERH operations May to Aug 2002; reduced – Dec '02
- Goal – Avg 90% reduction based on a 95% UCL

15

Angled Electrode Boring



Courtesy
of URS

16

ERH Remediation Beneath Air Force Plant Four




Photo
Courtesy of
URS

Continuous Indoor Air Monitoring

- INNOVA System sampled air for TCE every 5 minutes

- Would shutdown ERH system if TCE >3 ppm


- Online remote monitoring

- Never exceeded background TCE concentrations inside Bldg. 181

Courtesy of
URS




Maximum Subsurface Temperatures Achieved




Courtesy of
URS

Pre and Post Soil Data with Depth



Courtesy of
URS

Final TCE Concentrations in Groundwater



Results at AF Plant 4

- Area/Vol. treated: 22,000 sq. ft./27,400 c. yds
- Average weekly power input – 563 kW
- Recovered ~ 1,600 lbs. TCE
- Met GW goal following 4 months of opns - ~ 93% avg reduction in TCE GW conc.
- Met soil goal - 90% average reduction
- TCE levels never exceeded background in indoor breathing space
- No impacts on manufacturing opns
- \$57 per cubic yard
- Evidence of heat enhanced biodegradation

THERMAL CONDUCTIVE
HEATING/IN SITU THERMAL
DESORPTION (ISTD)

23

ISTD Processes


Thermal Conduction
into Soil

Vaporization of Fluids
and Contaminants within
Soil



Collection of Vapors

In-Situ Oxidation and
Pyrolysis - >95-99% In-Situ
Destruction

Aboveground Treatment
of Vapors (may be simpler
than illustrated)



ISTD: Simultaneous Application of Heat and Vacuum



S. Glens Falls, NY Drag Strip (PCBs)

Waste
oil
sprayed
on soil

Sharp
boundary
of ISTD
treatment
zone



Adjacent Residences, Portland, IN

ISTD
Well
Field



27

ISTD at Former Shell Bulk Storage Terminal, Eugene OR



ISTD at Eugene, OR (cont.)

- Maximum soil concentrations of 9,300 mg/kg (DRO), 3,500 mg/kg (gasoline); GW 1,300 µg/l (benzene);
 - as much as 7.9 ft of free product in monitoring wells.
 - Gravel layer 1-4', over silt to ~11-16' bgs.
- Project goals:
 - Removal of free product and benzene
 - Closure of site under Oregon DEQ RBCA UST program

Eugene, OR ISTD Project Results

- Free phase LNAPL removed from the 1-acre site
- Estimated 200,000 lbs of hydrocarbons removed and treated during 120-day heating
- Post-remediation soil and GW samples below the ODEQ's Tier 1 Risk-Based Concentrations:
 - Benzene concentrations in GW w/in treatment area reduced from 1,300 µg/L to ≤2.50 µg/L.
 - Post-treatment off-site GW samples below the analytical detection limit (i.e., <0.5 µg/L)
- Oregon DEQ issued a "No Further Action" letter for the site on March 14, 2000

Information Resources

- Jim Cummings
 - 703- 603-7197
 - cummings.james@epa.gov
- Database: *clu.in.org/products/thermal*
- Archived web-based seminar:
clu.in.org/studio/napl